

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,733	0/628,733 07/28/2003		Matthew S. Buynoski	039153-0460 (G1165)	4021
26371	7590 12/01/2004			EXAMINER	
FOLEY & L		VENTIE	GARCIA, JOANNIE A		
SUITE 3800	ISCONSIN A	VENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUKE	E, WI 5320)2-5308	2823	· <u> </u>	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summan	10/628,733	BUYNOSKI E	BUYNOSKI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Joannie A García						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondend	ce address				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION as of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, howev t. a reply within the statutory minin briod will apply and will expire Si tatute, cause the application to l	rer, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	25 August 2004.						
2a) <u></u> ☐) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for forn	nal matters, prosecution as te	o the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
	on Papers	•		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the a	attached Office Action or form	m PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date	9) B/08) 5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	ı (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/628,733

Art Unit: 2823

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McTeer (U.S. Patent 6,204,179), in combination with the following comments.

McTeer discloses forming a trench in a dielectric layer 1 (Figure 1, and Column 17, lines 22-23), providing a copper seed layer 2 in the trench (Figure 1, and Column 17, lines 22-24), electroplating the seed layer to provide copper material (Column 17, lines 22-51), providing a copper material 3 in the trench (Figure 1, and Column 17, lines 22-51), providing a first anneal to the copper material at a low temperature for a period of time to form large grain sizes in the copper material (Column 17, lines 51-55), and subsequently providing a second anneal at a higher temperature than the low temperature for a period of time to the copper material to distribute at least one alloy (Column 3, lines 42-47, and Column 17, lines 55-58). McTeer discloses as well, providing a Ta barrier layer 13 along lateral side walls of the trench, the barrier layer being disposed between the seed layer and the dielectric layer (Figure 4, and Column 18, lines 35-37, and 47-48), and using W, WN, ZrN, among other materials to be used for barrier layers for copper (Column 3, lines 35-38).

Mcteer discloses the claimed invention except for an annealing period of greater than 8 hours, grain sizes of copper material between 2,500 to 10,000 angstroms, and annealing temperatures of 100 °C, 250 °C to 350 °C. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary

Art Unit: 2823

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine a suitable period of time, a grain size, and annealing temperatures, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

In addition, the selection of a period of time, grain size, and annealing temperature, is obvious because it is a matter of determining optimum process conditions by routine experimentation with a limited number of species of result effective variables. These claims are prima facie obvious without showing that the claimed ranges achieve unexpected results relative to the prior art range. In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1935, 1937 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See also In re Huang, 40 USPQ2d 1685, 1688 (Fed. Cir. 1996)(claimed ranges or a result effective variable, which do not overlap the prior art ranges, are unpatentable unless they produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely in degree from the results of the prior art). See also In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA) (discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill or art) and In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1995) (selection of optimum ranges within prior art general conditions is obvious).

Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed time periods, grain sizes, annealing temperatures, or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen time periods, grain sizes, annealing temperatures, or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen period of times and grain sizes are critical. *In re Woodruf*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Art Unit: 2823

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joannie García whose telephone number is (571) 272-1861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Fourson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823

November 17, 2004

GFourson Primary Examiner